AP	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/697,237	10/31/2003	Nobuyuki Nonaka	SHO-0045	9024
		7590 . 10/10/2007 MAN & GRAUER PLLC		EXAMINER	
	LION BUILDI	NG .		MOSSER, ROBERT E	
	WASHINGTO	REET N.W., SUITE 501 N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3714	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/697,237	NONAKA, NOBUYUKI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert Mosser	3714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)					
Status		·						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	une 2004						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	,						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers	•						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	* * */						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
A441-	4(a)							
Attachmen	ot(s) Se of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🔲 I	(DTO 440)					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application					
		•-						

Application/Control Number: 10/697,237

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Muir et al (US 2005/0192090).

Muir teaches a gaming machine including:

a game result display means comprising a first display component of a reel type display (Figure 8, Paragraph 41), a second display component of a LCD type display (Figure 8, Paragraph 48), and a third display component of a liquid crystal shutter wherein the third display element selectively blocks the transmission of the reel image display component and selective enables the transmission of the LCD image component (Figure 8, Paragraph 61-65) wherein the second and third display elements are shown in a "one piece construct" (Figure 8);

a value providing device to provide game payouts to the player based on game outcomes (Paragraph 47);

a controller for controlling the operation (Paragraph 45);

Art Unit: 3714

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, and 3-5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Applicant argues the newly amended claim language as presenting a display configuration not presented by the prior art of Ozaki. However an updated search of the newly presented claim language has yielded the prior art reference of Muir as cited above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,237 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Mosser whose telephone number is (571)-272-4451. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RM/ September 28th, 2007

> ROBERT E. PEZZUTO SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER